arXiv:0912.1131v1 [math.CO] 6 Dec 2009

Towards Computing Vector Partition Functions by Iterated Partial Fraction Decomposition

Thomas Bliem*

Abstract

We investigate the possibilities to calculate vector partition functions by means of iterated partial fraction decomposition, as suggested by Beck (2004). Particularly, for an important type of families of rational functions, we describe an algorithm to compute the numerators in their partial fraction decomposition "formally," i.e., as a formal expression in the parameter. We also analyze the type of generalized rational functions that appear during the execution of an algorithm based on iterated partial fraction decomposition and explain how to handle these objects.

1 Introduction

We denote the set of nonnegative integers by $\mathbf{N}_0$ and the set of nonnegative real numbers by $\mathbf{R}_+$. Let $c_1, \dots, c_d \in \mathbf{Z}^m \subset \mathbf{R}^m$, contained in an open halfspace. The typical situation to have in mind is that $d > m$, so that the family (c_i) is linearly dependent. To this data, associate the *vector partition function* $\varphi_{c_1,\dots,c_d} : \mathbf{Z}^m \to \mathbf{N}_0$ by

$$\varphi_{c_1,\dots,c_d}(b) = |\{x \in \mathbf{N}_0^d : x_1c_1 + \cdots + x_dc_d = b\}|.$$

So $\varphi_{c_1,\dots,c_d}(v)$ is the number of decompositions of b as a nonnegative integral linear combination of $c_1, \dots, c_d$. In a more geometric language, $\varphi_{c_1,\dots,c_d}(b)$ is the number of integral points in the parametric polytope

$$P_{c_1,\dots,c_d}(b) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^d : x_1c_1 + \cdots + x_dc_d = b\}.$$

Thus the theory of vector partition functions generalizes Ehrhart theory [10], the latter corresponding to the study of the restriction of $\varphi_{c_1,\dots,c_d}$ to a ray.

Vector partition functions occur throughout mathematics as a convenient way to encode polyhedral models, such as: 1. In representation theory, as Kostant's partition function [12]. 2. Also in representation theory, as the vector partition functions constructed by Billey et al. [5] from Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns and by myself [7] from Littelmann patterns and Berenstein-Zelevinsky

*Supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

polytopes. 3. For counting integer flows in networks, as discussed by Baldoni et al. [2]. 4. In the optimization of computer programs (see [17] for an extensive list of applications in this area).

The usefulness of expressing a given function by a formula involving vector partition functions partially relies on the following theorem, proved by Blackley [6], Dahmen and Micchelli [9], and Sturmfels [15]: Given $c_1, \ldots, c_d$, there is a fan F in $\mathbf{R}^d$ such that the restriction of $\varphi_{c_1,\ldots,c_d}$ to each maximal cone $C \in F$ is given by a quasi-polynomial f_C, and $\varphi_{c_1,\ldots,c_d}$ vanishes outside F. Suppose you are given a formula for some interesting quantity involving a vector partition function. If you succeed in determining explicitly its fan F and its quasi-polynomials (f_C), you obtain a very explicit general formula for the original quantity. For this approach to work, it is of course essential to have effective means to determine F and (f_C). To date, there are a number of algorithms known allowing to do this, employing a variety of ideas. Some of the approaches I am aware of are the following:

1. Using Barvinok's algorithm [3]. This has been worked out by Verdoolaege et al. [17].

2. Using the Szenes-Vergne residue formula [16]. This was employed by Baldoni et al. [1] for Kostant's partition function associated with classical root systems and by myself [7] for vector partitions functions obtained from generalized Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns.

3. Using Paradan's wall crossing formula which relates the quasi-polynomials of adjacent maximal cones of F, see Boysal and Vergne [8].

4. Iteratively rewriting the generating function as a sum of rational functions with a special pole structure. Milev is working on this approach [14]. Note that he uses the term "partial fraction decomposition" in a different sense than we do.

Beck suggested a further algorithm [4], based on iterated partial fraction decomposition of the generating function. The aim of this extended abstract is to report on work in progress to work this idea out.

2 Preliminaries

This section is a summary of Beck's suggestions [4] to compute vector partition functions. We denote the set of positive integers by $\mathbf{N}$. Let $m, d \in \mathbf{N}$ and let $A \in \mathbf{Z}^{(m,n)}$ be an $(m \times d)$-matrix with integral coefficients. We consider A as a linear map $\mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{R}^m$. Suppose that $\ker(A) \cap \mathbf{R}^d_+ = \{0\}$. Then we can associate with A the *vector partition function* $\varphi_A : \mathbf{Z}^m \to \mathbf{N}_0$ by

$$\varphi_A(b) = |\{x \in \mathbf{N}_0^d : Ax = b\}|.$$

Let $c_1, \ldots, c_d$ be the column vectors of A. Then φ_A as defined above coincides with $\varphi_{c_1,\ldots,c_d}$ as defined in § 1. We use the standard multiexponent notation,

$z^b = z_1^{b_1} \cdots z_m^{b_m}$. The generating function of φ_A,

$$f_A(z) = \sum_{b \in \mathbf{Z}^m} \varphi_A(b) z^b,$$

converges on $\{z \in \mathbf{C}^m : |z^{c_k}| < 1 \text{ for } k = 1, \ldots, d\}$ to the rational function

$$f_A(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{d} \frac{1}{1 - z^{c_k}}. \tag{1}$$

By definition of the generating function, $\varphi_A(b)$ is the z^b-coefficient of $f_A(z)$. Equivalently, it is the constant coefficient of $f_A(z)z^{-b}$,

$$\varphi_A(b) = \operatorname{const} f_A(z) z^{-b}.$$

Consider $f_A(z)z^{-b}$ as a rational function in one variable z_m over the field $K_{m-1} = \mathbf{C}(z_1, \ldots, z_{m-1})$. Assume there is a well-defined notion of the constant coefficient of a rational function. Denote the constant coefficient of $f_A(z)z^{-b} \in K_{m-1}(z_m)$ by $\operatorname{const}_{z_n} f_A(z)z^{-b} \in K_{m-1}$. Then by iterating this procedure we get the expression

$$\varphi_A(b) = \operatorname{const}_{z_1} \cdots \operatorname{const}_{z_m} f_A(z) z^{-b} \in K_0 = \mathbf{C}$$

for the vector partition function φ_A. Hence, the problem of computing φ_A is reduced to the problem of finding the constant coefficient of a given rational function in one variable. This can be done using partial fraction decomposition, as described in the following.

Let K be a field. Consider the field $K(w)$ of rational functions in one variable w over K. (In the setting of the previous paragraph, $K = \mathbf{C}(z_1, \ldots, z_{m-1})$ and $w = z_m$.) Let $f(w) = \frac{r(w)}{s(w)} \in K$ for polynomials $r(w), s(w) \in K[w]$. Suppose $\deg(r(w)) < \deg(s(w))$. We want to compute $\operatorname{const}_w f(w)w^{-b}$ as a function of $b \in \mathbf{Z}$. We can suppose $s(0) \neq 0$ by a shift in b. Fix a decomposition $s(w) = s_1(w) \cdots s_d(w)$ into pairwise coprime factors. Then $s_k(w)$ and w are also coprime for $k = 1, \ldots, d$. Hence, for all $b \geq 0$, we get a partial fraction decomposition

$$f(w)w^{-b} = \frac{A_1(b; w)}{s_1(w)} + \cdots + \frac{A_d(b; w)}{s_d(w)} + \frac{B(b; w)}{w^b} \tag{2}$$

for some $A_k(b; w), B(b; w) \in K[w]$ such that $\deg(A_k(b; w)) < \deg(s_k(w))$ and $\deg(B(b; w)) < b$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{const}_w f(w)w^{-b} &= \operatorname{const}_w \frac{A_1(b; w)}{s_1(w)} + \cdots + \operatorname{const}_w \frac{A_d(b; w)}{s_d(w)} + \operatorname{const}_w \frac{B(b; w)}{w^b} \\ &= \frac{A_1(b; 0)}{s_1(0)} + \cdots + \frac{A_d(b; 0)}{s_d(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, in particular, the constant coefficient of $f(w)w^{-b}$ does not depend on $B(b;w)$ at all, and neither on the higher coefficients of $A_k(b;w)$. Hence we do not need to know the complete partial fraction decomposition of $f(w)w^{-b}$ to proceed with our computations.

By the above ideas it is indeed possible to compute quasi-polynomial expressions for vector partition functions. Beck demonstrates this by explicitly calculating the case $A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. However, this example is special in some respect: 1. The last row of A only contains the numbers 0 and 1. Hence, all roots of the polynomials $1-z^{c_k} \in \mathbf{C}(z_1)[z_2]$ will be elements of $\mathbf{C}(z_1)$. In general, they would be elements of an algebraic extension. 2. All components of A are nonnegative. Given a matrix A such that φ_A is defined, one can always suppose that all components of A are nonnegative by a unimodular change of coordinates, so this assumption is without loss of generality. Still it is possible to define φ_A for matrices A with negative components, so it would be nice to understand how to deal with those directly. 3. The columns of A are pairwise linearly independent. This translates to the fact that the generating function has no multiple poles when considered as a function in z_2. Additional complications will arise if there are multiple poles.

There is further study needed to make the proposed procedure applicable mechanically. In particular, the following questions must be addressed:

1. Which decomposition of $s(w)$ should one use? There is a natural one coming from (1), and the usual fine decomposition into prime powers.

2. What is the constant term of a rational function? In general this depends on the region of convergence considered. If handled without the appropriate care, this leads to paradoxical formulas such as
$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \operatorname{const}\bigl(1 + z + z^2 + \cdots\bigr) = \operatorname{const} \frac{1}{1-z} \\ &= \operatorname{const} -z^{-1}\frac{1}{1-z^{-1}} = \operatorname{const}\bigl(-z^{-1} - z^{-2} - \cdots\bigr) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

3. Which algorithm should be used to compute the partial fraction decomposition? Note that it is crucial to be able not only to compute a particular partial fraction decomposition of $f(w)w^{-b}$ for a a particular $b \in \mathbf{N}_0$, but as a function of the variable b.

4. How do we deal with multiple poles of $f_A(w)$? These occur if and only if A has a pair of linearly dependent columns. Hence they become increasingly unlikely for higher m, but cannot be excluded.

5. If we answer the first question by using the fine decomposition of $s(w)$, lots of summands and roots of unity will appear. Do we have to compute all of them individually, or can we use the Galois invariance, i.e., the invariance of $\varphi_A(b)$ under the $G(\mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{ab}}|\mathbf{Q})$-operation, to facilitate this?

We will partially address these questions in the following. The remaining issues are delayed to a forthcoming full version of this extended abstract, where the word "Towards" will be hopefully dropped from the title.

3 A lemma about partial fraction decomposition

By partial fraction decomposition I mean the following:

Definition 1. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a domain. Let $\frac{r}{s}$ be an element of the quotient field of $\mathcal{O}$. Fix a decomposition $s = s_1 \cdots s_d$ of s such that $(s_j, s_k) = \mathcal{O}$ for all $j \neq k$. Then a *partial fraction decomposition* of $\frac{r}{s}$ with respect to the decomposition $s_1, \ldots, s_d$ is an expression

$$\frac{r}{s} = \frac{r_1}{s_1} + \cdots + \frac{r_d}{s_d} + p. \tag{3}$$

with $r_1, \ldots, r_d, p \in \mathcal{O}$.

Note that in this generality the partial fraction decomposition is not unique. Of course, in the important case when $\mathcal{O}$ is Euclidean, one can standardize further to obtain uniqueness. Partial fraction decompositions always exist. This follows from the following lemma, which gives more importantly a way to determine the numerators r_k explicitly. Note that, once the numerators are determined, the integral part p can be obtained by subtracting the fractional parts from $\frac{r}{s}$.

Lemma 1. *Let $r, s, s_1, \ldots, s_d \in \mathcal{O}$ as in Definition 1. Let $c_k = \frac{s}{s_k}$ for $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then c_k is invertible in $\mathcal{O}/(s_k)$. Let $d_k \in \mathcal{O}$ be an inverse of c_k modulo (s_k) and $r_k = rd_k$. Then the r_k give rise to a partial fraction decomposition of $\frac{r}{s}$, i.e., (3) holds for some $p \in \mathcal{O}$.*

Proof. Let $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. As the elements s_j and s_k were supposed to be coprime for $j \neq k$, these s_j are invertible modulo (s_k). Hence their product c_k is also invertible modulo (s_k), and we can define d_k and r_k as in the announcement. By definition, $d_k c_k \equiv 1 \mod (s_k)$. Hence

$$r_k c_k = r d_k c_k \equiv r \mod (s_k). \tag{4}$$

For $j \neq k$ we have $s_k \mid c_j$, so

$$r_j c_j \equiv 0 \mod (s_k). \tag{5}$$

Combining (4) and (5), we get

$$r_1 c_1 + \cdots + r_d c_d \equiv r \mod (s_k).$$

This congruence holds for all k, so by the Chinese remainder theorem it also holds modulo (s). In other words,

$$r = r_1 c_1 + \cdots + r_d c_d + ps \tag{6}$$

for some $p \in \mathcal{O}$. Dividing (6) by s we obtain the announced partial fraction decomposition. □

Note that Lemma 1 allows for the computation of individual numerators r_i without necessity to compute the complete partial fraction decomposition. It is also implicitly underlying Kung and Tong's fast algorithm [13] for partial fraction decomposition and Xin's algorithm [18] for MacMahon's partition analysis. In my opinion, Lemma 1 is largely underestimated and should, indeed, be taught to students as a standard method for partial fraction decomposition.

We continue by studying the important special case that $\mathcal{O} = K[w]$ is a polynomial ring and we have a partial fraction denominator of the form $1-aw^n$. This is the kind of situation typically arising during the computation of a vector partition function.

Definition 2. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Let K be a field of characteristic not dividing n, containing the n-th roots of unity. Let $a \in (K^\times)^n$ be an n-th power. Let $f(w) \in K[w]$ be a polynomial such that $f(\alpha^{-1}) \neq 0$ if $\alpha^n = a$. With these we associate the *generalized Dedekind sum* $S_{f,a}(n) \in K$ given by

$$S_{f,a}(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in K \\ \alpha^n = a}} \frac{1}{f(\alpha^{-1})}.$$

Note that $S_{f,a}(n)$ is the type of generalized Dedekind sums studied by Gessel [11]. In this situation, Lemma 1 specializes as follows:

Lemma 2. *Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Let K be a field of characteristic not dividing n, containing the n-th roots of unity. Let $a \in (K^\times)^n$ be an n-th power. Let $f(w) \in K[w]$ be coprime to $1-aw^n$. Consider the partial fraction decomposition*

$$\frac{1}{(1-aw^n)f(w)} = \frac{A(w)}{1-aw^n} + \frac{B(w)}{f(w)}$$

with $\deg(A(w)) < n$ and $\deg(B(w)) < \deg(f(w))$. Then the constant term of $A(w)$ is $A(0) = S_{f,a}(n)$.

Proof. We calculate the partial fraction decomposition with respect to the finer decomposition

$$(1-aw^n)f(w) = f(w) \prod_{\alpha^n = a} (1-\alpha w)$$

Let A_α denote the numerator of $1-\alpha w$ in this finer partial fraction decomposition. As $\deg(1-\alpha w) = 1$ we have $A_\alpha \in K$. So reduction and modular inversion in Lemma 1 are just evalutation of polynomials and inversion in K, respectively. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} A_\alpha &= \Big(f(w) \prod_{\tilde{\alpha} \neq \alpha} (1-\tilde{\alpha} w) \Big|_{w=\alpha^{-1}} \Big)^{-1} \\ &= \Big(f(\alpha^{-1}) \prod_{\zeta^n = 1, \zeta \neq 1} (1-\zeta) \Big)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n f(\alpha^{-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

As $A(0) = \sum_{\alpha^n = a} A_\alpha$ the lemma follows. □

Lemma 2 is provided here mostly as a starting point for further investigations. In the version of the algorithm explained below we will decompose $1-aw^n$ into linear factors and treat all the poles individually.

Another important consequence of Lemma 1 is that the type of partial fraction decompositions (2) used to compute vector partition functions can be computed "for formal b" in the following sense:

Proposition 1. *Let K be a field and w a variable. Fix $a \in K^\times$, $m \in \mathbf{N}$, and $f(w) \in K[w]$ such that $f(0) \neq 0$ and $f(a^{-1}) \neq 0$. For each $b \in \mathbf{N}$ consider the partial fraction decomposition*

$$\frac{1}{(1-aw)^m f(w) w^b} = \frac{A_1(b;w)}{(1-aw)^m} + \frac{A_2(b;w)}{f(w)} + \frac{B(b;w)}{w^b}.$$

Then $A_1(b;w)$ is given by an effectively computable expression in b.

Proof. By Lemma 1, $A_1(b;w)$ is the inverse of $f(w)w^b$ modulo $(1-aw)^m$. Let $\tilde{w}$ be the local coordinate at a^{-1}, i.e., $w = a^{-1} + \tilde{w}$, and carry out all further computations in $K[\tilde{w}]/(\tilde{w}^m)$. Then the inversion problem is reduced to invert $f(a^{-1}+\tilde{w})(a^{-1}+\tilde{w})^b = f(a^{-1}+\tilde{w})a^{-b}(1+a\tilde{w})^b$. Let $g(\tilde{w}), h(\tilde{w}) \in K[\tilde{w}]/(\tilde{w}^m)$ be the inverses of $f(a^{-1}+\tilde{w})$ and $1+a\tilde{w}$, respectively. Then $g(\tilde{w})$ can be computed explicitly. The inverse of $f(a^{-1}+\tilde{w})(a^{-1}+\tilde{w})^b$ is $g(\tilde{w})a^b h(\tilde{w})^b$. Note the general formula

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)^b} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{j+b-1}{j} x^j = 1 + bx + \frac{b(b+1)}{2}x^2 + \cdots . \tag{7}$$

By (7) we have

$$h(\tilde{w})^b = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{j+b-1}{j} (-a)^j \tilde{w}^j.$$

Hence we obtain explicit expressions for the coefficients of $h(\tilde{w})^b$, hence for $g(\tilde{w})a^b h(\tilde{w})^b$. Substituting $\tilde{w} = w - a^{-1}$ we obtain $A_1(b;w)$. □

Example 1. Suppose we want to compute the first numerator of the partial fraction decomposition

$$\frac{1}{(1-w)^2 w^b} = \frac{A(b;w)}{(1-w)^2} + \frac{B(b;w)}{w^b}.$$

By Lemma 1 we have to invert w^b modulo $(1-w)^2$. We do this as indicated in the proof of Proposition 1. Restated in the local coordinate at 1, we have to invert $(1+\tilde{w})^b$ modulo $\tilde{w}^2$. This inverse is $(1-\tilde{w})^b = 1 - b\tilde{w}$, a special case of (7). Substituting $\tilde{w} = w - 1$ we obtain the result

$$A(b;w) = 1 - b(w-1) = b + 1 - bw.$$

Note that the constant term is $A(b;0) = b+1$. We have thus computed our first partition function, namely $\varphi_{1,1}(b) = b+1$.

Example 2. For a more complex example, consider the partial fraction decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{(1-w^2)(1-w^4)w^b} &= \frac{1}{(1-iw)(1+iw)(1+w)^2(1-w)^2w^b} \\ &= \frac{A_1(b)}{1-iw} + \frac{A_2(b)}{1+iw} + \frac{A_3(b;w)}{(1+w)^2} + \frac{A_4(b;w)}{(1-w)^2} + \frac{B(b;w)}{w^b}.\end{aligned}$$

By direct application of Lemma 1 we obtain $A_1(b) = \frac{i^b}{8}$ and $A_2(b) = \frac{i^{-b}}{8}$. To determine $A_3(b;w)$ we proceed as in the proof of Proposition 1. We have to invert $(1-iw)(1+iw)(1-w)^2w^b$ modulo $(1+w)^2$. In the local coordinate $\tilde{w}$ at -1, the first factor is $1-i(-1+\tilde{w}) = (1+i)(1-\frac{i}{1+i}\tilde{w})$, so its inverse is $\frac{1}{1+i}(1+\frac{i}{1+i}\tilde{w})$. The second and third factor can be inverted similarly. The crucial step is to invert $(-1+\tilde{w})^b = (-1)^b(1-\tilde{w})^b$. This results in $(-1)^{-b}(1+\tilde{w})^b = (-1)^{-b}(1+b\tilde{w})$. One would proceed by multiplying the four inverses modulo $\tilde{w}^2$ and changing the coordinate back to w, thus obtaining $A_3(b;w)$. The remaining numerator $A_4(b;w)$ can be computed similarly.

To carry out the complete calculation by hand would be a considerable amount of work. Yet I hope to have convinced you by these indications that the proof of Proposition 1 does indeed furnish an algorithm to compute formal expressions for the numerators.

4 Constant terms and partial fraction decomposition

We continue by making precise in which sense partial fraction decompositions can be used to compute the constant term of a rational function. The first step is to define the rings and fields involved in the computations. We consider the generating function $f_A(z)$ given in (1) as a function in the last variable z_m. If the last row of A only contains the numbers 0 and 1, all poles of $f_A(z)$ lie in $\mathbf{C}(z_1,\dots,z_{m-1})$. In the general case they are only contained in an algebraic extension. This gives rise to the following definition.

Definition 3. For a field K, let $K((\sqrt[\infty]{w}))$ denote the field of formal series

$$f(w) = \sum_{k=M}^{\infty} c_{k/N} w^{k/N}$$

for some $M \in \mathbf{Z}$, $N \in \mathbf{N}$ with coefficients $c_{k/N} \in K$. Similarly, let $K[\sqrt[\infty]{w}]$ denote the ring of formal finite sums $\sum_{k=M}^{M'} c_{k/N} w^{k/N}$ for some $M, M' \in \mathbf{Z}$, $N > 0$. Let $K(\sqrt[\infty]{w})$ denote the quotient field of $K[\sqrt[\infty]{w}]$.

Beware that $K((\sqrt[\infty]{w}))$ is not complete in the natural topology. For example, the series $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} w^{k+\frac{1}{k}}$ does not converge.

Given a rational function $f(w) \in \mathbf{C}(w)$ in a complex variable w, there is a Laurent series expansion for each open annulus not containing any pole of $f(w)$. We define the "standard" expansion to be the one on the annulus $\{z \in \mathbf{C} : 0 < |z| < \epsilon\}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. If we deal with rational functions over arbitrary fields, or even functions involving rational exponents as defined above, there is no notion of convergence. Yet we can define the standard expansion as follows:

Definition 4. Let K be a field and w a formal variable. The *standard expansion* is the embedding $K(\sqrt[\infty]{w}) \to K((\sqrt[\infty]{w}))$ over K which maps w^q to w^q for all $q \in \mathbf{Q}$. The *constant coefficient* of $f(w) \in K(\sqrt[\infty]{w})$ is the coefficient of w^0 in the standard expansion. It denoted by $\operatorname{const}_w f(w) \in K$.

Using this definition we can state the lemma underlying the partial fraction method precisely. With regard to the algorithmic application, we use the maximal abelian extension $\mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ of $\mathbf{Q}$ as a ground field instead of $\mathbf{C}$.

Lemma 3. *Consider a vector partition function φ_A. Suppose that $A \in \mathbf{N}_0^{(m,d)}$ has nonnegative coefficients. Let $f_A(z) \in \mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{ab}}(\sqrt[\infty]{z_1}, \ldots, \sqrt[\infty]{z_m})$ be the generating function of φ_A as in* (1)*. Then*

$$\varphi_A(b) = \operatorname{const}_{z_1} \cdots \operatorname{const}_{z_m} f_A(z) z^{-b}.$$

for all $b \in \mathbf{Q}^m$.

We will write $\operatorname{const}_z = \operatorname{const}_{z_1} \cdots \operatorname{const}_{z_m}$ for this type of iterated constant coefficients. The basic principle to successfully apply the partial fraction method is to alternate working in fields of type $K(\sqrt[\infty]{w})$ and fields of rational functions $K(w)$. Namely, partial fraction decomposition is applied to rational functions, but produces expressions with rational exponents. The following trivial lemma allows to clear out the rational exponents for the next iteration.

Lemma 4. *Let $f(w) = \sum_{q \in \mathbf{Q}} c_q w^q \in K((\sqrt[\infty]{w}))$ and $r \in \mathbf{Q}$. Let $f(w^r) = \sum_{q \in \mathbf{Q}} c_q w^{rq}$. Then $\operatorname{const}_w f(w) = \operatorname{const}_w f(w^r)$.*

We are now in position to make the statement underlying the partial fraction method precise. For $q \in \mathbf{Q}$, let $e(q) = e^{2\pi i q}$. To refer to sets of variables, we use the notations $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_m)$, $z' = (z_1, \ldots, z_{m-1})$ and $w = z_m$. Similarly, c_k' denotes the vector obtained from c_k by omitting the last component, so $c_k = (c_k', a_{mk})$.

Proposition 2. *Let $A \in \mathbf{N}_0^{(m,d)}$, $b \in \mathbf{Z}^m$, and $q \in \mathbf{Q}^d$. Suppose that the columns $c_1, \ldots, c_d$ of A are pairwise linearly independent, that $a_{mk} > 0$ for $k = 1, \ldots, d$, and that $b_m \geq 0$. Consider $f(z) = f_{A,b,q}(z) \in \mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{ab}}(z)$ of the form*

$$f_{A,b,q}(z) = z^{-b} \prod_{k=1}^{d} \frac{1}{1 - e(q_k) z^{c_k}}.$$

Then one can effectively compute $c_n \in \mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{ab}}$, $A^{(n)} \in \mathbf{N}_0^{(m-1,d)}$, $b^{(n)} \in \mathbf{Z}^{m-1}$, and $q^{(n)} \in \mathbf{Q}^d$ for $n = 1, \ldots, N$ such that

$$\operatorname{const}_z f(z) = c_1 \operatorname{const}_{z'} f_1(z') + \cdots + c_N \operatorname{const}_{z'} f_N(z')$$

for $f_n(z') = f_{A^{(n)},b^{(n)},q^{(n)}}(z')$.

Note that among the restrictions only the one about the linear independence of the columns is severe: If $b_m < 0$ we know immediately that $\operatorname{const}_z f_{A,b,q}(z) = 0$. The factor corresponding to a possible k with $a_{mk} = 0$ is contained in $\mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{ab}}(z')$, hence just a scalar.

Proof. Consider $f(z) = f(z'; w)$ as a function of w. All poles of $f(z'; w)$ are contained in $\mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{ab}}(\sqrt[\infty]{z'})$. More precisely, the roots of $1 - e(q_k)z^{c_k}$ are $w = e\left(\frac{l-q_k}{a_{mk}}\right) z'^{-c'_k/a_{mk}}$ for $l = 0, \dots, a_{mk} - 1$. Hence this factor decomposes as

$$1 - e(q_k)z^{c_k} = \prod_{l=0}^{a_{mk}-1} \left(1 - e\left(\frac{q_k - l}{a_{mk}}\right) z'^{c'_k/a_{mk}} w\right).$$

This gives rise to the partial fraction decomposition

$$f_{A,b,q}(z'; w) = \frac{B(b, z'; w)}{z^b} + \sum_{k=0}^{d} \sum_{l=0}^{a_{mk}-1} \frac{A_{k,l}(b; z')}{1 - e\left(\frac{q_k-l}{a_{mk}}\right) z'^{c'_k/a_{mk}} w}.$$

Here $\deg_w B(b, z'; w) < b_m$, so the corresponding summand does not contribute to $\operatorname{const}_w f_{A,b,q}(z'; w)$. As the remaining poles are simple, the numerators $A_{k,l}(b; z')$ do not depend on w. Hence

$$\operatorname{const}_w f_{A,b,q}(z'; w) = \sum_{k=0}^{d} \sum_{l=0}^{a_{mk}-1} A_{k,l}(b; z').$$

It follows that

$$\operatorname{const}_z f_{A,b,q}(z'; w) = \operatorname{const}_{z'} \operatorname{const}_w f_{A,b,q}(z'; w) = \sum_{k=0}^{d} \sum_{l=0}^{a_{mk}-1} \operatorname{const}_{z'} A_{k,l}(b; z').$$

Our strategy to construct $f_1(z'), \dots, f_N(z')$ is hence to transform the summands $A_{k,l}(b; z')$ suitably. By Lemma 1 they are given by

$$A_{k,l}(b; z') = 1 \Bigg/ \prod_{\tilde{k} \neq k} (1 - e(q_{\tilde{k}})z^{a_{\tilde{k}}}) \cdot z^b \cdot \prod_{\tilde{l} \neq l} \left(1 - e\left(\frac{q_k - \tilde{l}}{a_{mk}}\right) z^{a_k/a_{mk}}\right) \Bigg|_{w = e\left(\frac{l-q_k}{a_{mk}}\right) z'^{-c'_k/a_{mk}}}.$$

After the substitution, the first factor in the denominator becomes

$$\prod_{\tilde{k} \neq k} \left(1 - e\left(q_{\tilde{k}} + \frac{a_{m\tilde{k}}(l - q_k)}{a_{mk}}\right) z'^{\frac{a_{mk}c'_{\tilde{k}} - a_{m\tilde{k}}c'_k}{a_{mk}}}\right),$$

the second factor becomes

$$e\left(\frac{b_m(l-q_k)}{a_{mk}}\right) z'^{\frac{a_{mk}b'-b_m c'_k}{a_{mk}}},$$

and the last factor becomes

$$\prod_{\tilde{l}\neq l}\left(1-e\left(\frac{l-\tilde{l}}{a_{mk}}\right)\right) = a_{mk}.$$

We want to construct $c_1,\dots,c_N$ and $f_1(z'),\dots,f_N(z')$ from $A_{k,l}(b,z')$. To simplify notation, change the indexing to $n=(k,l)$. The idea is to choose

$$c_{k,l} = \frac{1}{e\left(\frac{b_m(l-q_k)}{a_{mk}}\right) a_{mk}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{k,l}(z') &= \frac{1}{c_{k,l}} A_{k,l}(b;z') \\ &= z'^{\frac{b_m c'_k - a_{mk}b'}{a_{mk}}} \prod_{\tilde{k}\neq k}\left(1-e\left(q_{\tilde{k}}+\frac{a_{m\tilde{k}}(l-q_k)}{a_{mk}}\right) z'^{\frac{a_{mk}c'_{\tilde{k}}-a_{m\tilde{k}}c'_k}{a_{mk}}}\right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This is not quite possible, because $b^{(k,l)} = (b_m c'_k - a_{mk}b')/a_{mk}$ contains rational numbers as opposed to integers, and the columns $(a_{mk}c'_{\tilde{k}} - a_{m\tilde{k}}c'_k)/a_{mk}$ of $A^{(k,l)}$ contain rational numbers as opposed to nonnegative integers. By a multivariate version of Lemma 4 we can substitute z_j by $z_j^{a_{mk}}$ without changing the constant coefficient, thereby obtaining integers in both places. To to make $A^{(k,l)}$ nonnegative, use the formula

$$\frac{1}{1-z_j^{-b}} = -\frac{z_j^b}{1-z_j^b} \tag{8}$$

as necessary. The sign will amount to a change of $c_{k,l}$, the numerator to a change of $b^{(k,l)}$. □

The most important feature of Proposition 2 is that the algorithm does not branch on a condition depending on b. Hence, although stated for specific $b\in\mathbf{Z}^n$, it can actually compute formal expressions in b.

Example 3. Let $A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&1\\3&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Then $\varphi_A(a,b) = \operatorname{const} f_A(z,w)z^{-a}w^{-b}$, and

$$f_A(z,w)z^{-a}w^{-b} = \frac{1}{(1-zw^3)(1-zw)z^a w^b}.$$

This is a trivial example, included here only for the sake of illustration. In the first step of the iteration we compute the constant term with respect to w. It

$b < 0$ then $\varphi_A(a,b) = 0$, so suppose $b \geq 0$. As z^{-a} is a scalar now, we start with the following partial fraction decomposition specializing (2):

$$\frac{1}{(1-zw^3)(1-zw)w^b} = \frac{A_1(b;w)}{1-zw^3} + \frac{A_2(b;w)}{1-zw} + \frac{B(b;w)}{w^b}$$

In order to apply Lemma 2 we have to ensure that z is a third power. So let $z^{1/3}$ be a third root of z, and use $K = \mathbf{C}(z^{1/3})$ as the field of scalars. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{const}_w \frac{1}{(1-zw^3)(1-zw)w^b} &= A_1(b;0) + A_2(b;0)\\ &= S_{(1-zw)w^b,z}(3) + S_{(1-zw^3)w^b,z}(1).\end{aligned}$$

Let ζ be a primitive third root of unity. Then

$$\begin{aligned}S_{(1-zw)w^b,z}(3) = \frac{1}{3}\Bigg(&\frac{1}{(1-z^{2/3})z^{-b/3}} + \frac{1}{(1-\zeta z^{2/3})\zeta^b z^{-b/3}}\\ &+ \frac{1}{(1-\zeta^2 z^{2/3})\zeta^{2b} z^{-b/3}}\Bigg),\\ S_{(1-zw^3)w^b,z}(1) = \frac{1}{(1-z^{-2})z^{-b}} &= -\frac{1}{(1-z^2)z^{-b-2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\varphi_A(a,b)$ is the constant coefficient of the univariate function

$$\frac{1}{3}\Bigg(\frac{1}{(1-z^{2/3})z^{a-b/3}} + \frac{1}{(1-\zeta z^{2/3})\zeta^b z^{a-b/3}} + \frac{1}{(1-\zeta^2 z^{2/3})\zeta^{2b} z^{a-b/3}}\Bigg) - \frac{1}{(1-z^2)z^{a-b-2}}.$$

In order to eliminate the fractional powers, we substitute z by z^3 according to Lemma 4 in the first three summands and obtain

$$\varphi_A(a,b) = \operatorname{const}_z \frac{1}{3}\Bigg(\frac{1}{(1-z^2)z^{3a-b}} + \frac{1}{(1-\zeta z^2)\zeta^b z^{3a-b}} + \frac{1}{(1-\zeta^2 z^2)\zeta^{2b} z^{3a-b}}\Bigg) - \frac{1}{(1-z^2)z^{a-b-2}}.$$

From this we would compute a quasi-polynomial expression for $\varphi_A(a,b)$ as a second step.

Example 4. Let $A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&0&1\\0&1&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Then φ_A is Kostant's partition function associated with a root system of type A_2. A prototype implementation of the partial fraction method produces, given A, the following output:

$$\varphi_A(a,b) = \begin{cases} \left\{\begin{array}{cc} a+1 & \text{if } a \geq 0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{array}\right\} - \left\{\begin{array}{cc} a-b & \text{if } a-b \geq 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{array}\right\} & \text{if } b \geq 0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Note that the traces of the algorithm are still visible in the output: The output consists of nested case statements, where the second alternative is always 0. This corresponds to to the fact that if $b_m < 0$ then $\operatorname{const}_z f(z)z^{-b} = 0$. The outer case statement corresponds to the first step, the partial fraction decomposition with respect to z_2. Hence the condition is simply $b \geq 0$. As there are two nonzero components in the second row of A, the first iteration yiels a sum of two rational functions in z_1. These correspond to the two terms of the difference. Note that for the second term there is a change of sign, and the condition is that a certain function of a and b must be positive. This is because of an application of (8) in the first step, as explained in the end of the proof of Proposition 2.

Acknowledgements

I wish to thank Matthias Beck and the Department of Mathematics at the San Francisco State University for their hospitality during the preparation of this extended abstract.

References

[1] M. Welleda Baldoni, Matthias Beck, Charles Cochet, and Michèle Vergne, *Volume computation for polytopes and partition functions for classical root systems*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **35** (2006), 551–595, doi:10.1007/s00454-006-1234-2.

[2] W. Baldoni-Silva, J. A. De Loera, and M. Vergne, *Counting integer flows in networks*, Found. Comput. Math. **4** (2004), 277–314, doi:10.1007/s10208-003-0088-8.

[3] Alexander I. Barvinok, *A polynomial time algorithm for counting integral points in polyhedra when the dimension is fixed*, Math. Oper. Res. **19** (1994), 769–779, doi:10.1287/moor.19.4.769.

[4] Matthias Beck, *The partial-fractions method for counting solutions to integral linear systems*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **32** (2004), 437–446, doi:10.1007/s00454-004-1131-5.

[5] Sara Billey, Victor Guillemin, and Etienne Rassart, *A vector partition function for the multiplicities of* $\mathfrak{sl}_k\mathbf{C}$, J. Algebra **278** (2004), 251–293, doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2003.12.005.

[6] G. R. Blakley, *Combinatorial remarks on partitions of a multipartite number*, Duke Math. J. **31** (1964), 335–340, errata 718, doi:10.1215/S0012-7094-64-03132-1.

[7] Thomas Bliem, *Chopped and sliced cones and representations of Kac-Moody algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra (2009), in press, doi:10.1016/j.jpaa.2009.10.002.

[8] Arzu Boysal and Michèle Vergne, *Paradan's wall crossing formula for partition functions and Khovanski-Pukhlikov differential operator*, arXiv: 0803.2810v1, 2008.

[9] Wolfgang Dahmen and Charles A. Micchelli, *The number of solutions to linear Diophantine equations and multivariate splines*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **308** (1988), 509–532, doi:10.2307/2001089.

[10] Eugène Ehrhart, *Sur les polyèdres rationnels homothétiques à n dimensions*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **254** (1962), 616–618.

[11] Ira M. Gessel, *Generating functions and generalized Dedekind sums*, Electron. J. Comb. **4** (1997), no. 2, R11.

[12] Bertram Kostant, *A formula for the multiplicity of a weight*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **93** (1959), 53–73, doi:10.2307/1993422.

[13] H. T. Kung and D. M. Tong, *Fast algorithms for partial fraction decomposition*, SIAM J. Comput. **6** (1977), 582–593, doi:10.1137/0206042.

[14] Todor Milev, *Partial fraction decompositions and an algorithm for computing the vector partition function*, arXiv:0910.4675v2, 2009.

[15] Bernd Sturmfels, *On vector partition functions*, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A **72** (1995), 302–309, doi:10.1016/0097-3165(95)90067-5.

[16] András Szenes and Michèle Vergne, *Residue formulae for vector partitions and Euler-MacLaurin sums*, Adv. Appl. Math. **30** (2003), 295–342, doi: 10.1016/S0196-8858(02)00538-9.

[17] Sven Verdoolaege, Rachid Seghir, Kristof Beyls, Vincent Loechner, and Maurice Bruynooghe, *Counting integer points in parametric polytopes using Barvinok's rational functions*, Algorithmica **48** (2007), 37–66, doi:10.1007/s00453-006-1231-0.

[18] Guoce Xin, *A fast algorithm for MacMahon's partition analysis*, Electron. J. Comb. **11** (2004), no. 1, R58.